

- (a) Period ending 19 January 2005
- (b) Period ending 26 January 2005

(Mrs McLean declared an interest in Application No: 83713 but remained in the meeting during consideration thereof)

410/04 **Planning Appeal – OCA UK Ltd – 56 Mountfield**

Members were advised that an Appeal had been lodged in respect of the above.

RESOLVED

That New Forest District Council be supported.

411/04 **Consultation document – Criteria for undertaking a review of a National Park or Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty Boundary**

It was agreed to defer this item until the next meeting due to time restraints.

412/04 **New Legislation to Control Nuisance High Hedges – Report to New Forest District Council Economy and Planning Review Panel**

Consideration was given to the Report on the Introduction of New Legislation to Control Nuisance High Hedges.

It was agreed that the proposed way of operation appears to be satisfactory.

The Meeting closed at 7.58 pm.

Chairman

Date

mnpln254

Planning Applications Considered on 07 February 2005

1	<i>Plan Number</i> 083651	<i>House No./Name</i> 28	<i>Road</i> Nash Road	<i>Applicant</i> Watson Mr and Mrs
	<i>Applicant</i>	<i>House Name</i>	<i>Road</i>	<i>Locality</i>
	<i>Town date</i>	<i>County</i>	<i>Post Code</i>	<i>Application</i> 18/01/05

Applicant - Mr and Mrs Watson

Proposal - Side conservatory; roof alterations with dormers in association with new first floor

Site - 28 Nash Road, Dibden Purlieu, SO45 4RS

Support

Neighbour Notifications sent 28.1.2005

29; 11; 27 Nash Road

2	<i>Plan Number</i> 083652	<i>House No./Name</i> Unit 6	<i>Road</i> Shore Road	<i>Applicant</i> S.C.S.Ltd
	<i>Applicant</i>	<i>House Name</i>	<i>Road</i>	<i>Locality</i>
	<i>Town date</i>	<i>County</i>	<i>Post Code</i>	<i>Application</i> 18/01/05

Applicant - S.C.S. Ltd

Proposal - First-floor windows in front and rear elevations

Site - Unit 6, Shore Road, Hythe

Support

**Neighbour Notifications sent 28.1.05
RAF Hythe**

Planning Applications Considered on 07 February 2005

3	<i>Plan Number</i> 083658	<i>House No./Name</i> Talbot Farm	<i>Road</i> Main Road	<i>Applicant</i> Renyard Mr D
	<i>Applicant</i>	<i>House Name</i>	<i>Road</i>	<i>Locality</i>
	<i>Town</i> <i>date</i>	<i>County</i>	<i>Post Code</i>	<i>Application</i> 18/01/05

Applicant - Mr D Renyard

Proposal - Continued use of land for storage of 3 caravans

Site - Talbot Farm, Main Road, Dibden

Support subject to approval being restricted to 5 year temporary approval for no more than 3 unoccupied caravans.

**Neighbour Notifications sent 28.1.05
Talbot Cottage, Main Road**

4	<i>Plan Number</i> 083690	<i>House No./Name</i> 2	<i>Road</i> Woodlands Close	<i>Applicant</i> Howe Mr and Mrs
	<i>Applicant</i>	<i>House Name</i>	<i>Road</i>	<i>Locality</i>
	<i>Town</i> <i>date</i>	<i>County</i>	<i>Post Code</i>	<i>Application</i> 18/01/05

Applicant - Mr and Mrs Howe

Proposal - Rear conservatory

Site - 2 Woodlands Close, Dibden Purlieu SO45 4JG

Support

**Neighbour Notifications sent 28.1.2005
1 and 3 Woodlands Close**

Planning Applications Considered on

07 February 2005

5	<i>Plan Number</i> 083704	<i>House No./Name</i> Locks Farm	<i>Road</i> Main Road	<i>Applicant</i> Ransom Mr and Mrs
	<i>Applicant</i>	<i>House Name</i>	<i>Road</i>	<i>Locality</i>
	<i>Town date</i>	<i>County</i>	<i>Post Code</i>	<i>Application</i>
				18/01/05

Applicant - Mr and Mrs R Ransom

Proposal - Retention of agricultural buildings

Site - Locks Farm, Main Road, Dibden

Object to this addition within the strategic gap. Information should be obtained on the current use of the land from an environmental perspective to ensure that there is no likelihood of oil/chemical spills.

No neighbour notifications sent

6	<i>Plan Number</i> 083713	<i>House No./Name</i> Langdown Cottage, 2	<i>Road</i> Langdown Lawn	<i>Applicant</i> HVHS Housing
Group	<i>Applicant</i>	<i>House Name</i>	<i>Road</i>	<i>Locality</i>
	<i>Town date</i>	<i>County</i>	<i>Post Code</i>	<i>Application</i>
				18/01/05

Applicant - HVHS Housing Group

Proposal - 11 dwellings in 2 blocks; car parking area; demolition of existing building

Site - Langdown Cottage, 2 Langdown Lawn, Hythe SO45 5GR

Object. Comments made by this Council on the previous application do not appear to have been addressed but they still stand -

"Object. There is concern that the public access onto the highway will result in on street parking on Southampton Road. Parking on site is not adequate. If residents and visitors vehicles exceed the number of parking bays overspill parking in Racketts Lane it would be dangerous and could prevent the access of emergency services. Parking on Southampton Road would cause a hazard to other road users trying to pass on the narrowed road on a hill. This Council would object to the loss of trees in order to improve visibility splays on Southampton Road.

The trees form part of the street scene and should have TPOs applied to them. Consideration should also be given to ensuring that the trees and their roots are protected from damage during construction.

The proposed bank on the n/w boundary will be detrimental to the health of the trees and is likely to crush the roots.

The refuse collection point is in the pedestrian area and it is unclear how the refuse

would be collected. The refuse collection vehicles should not be expected or allowed to collect from the Southampton Road pedestrian access. The corner window on the south elevation overlooks neighbouring property.

There appears to be potential to rearrange the siting of the buildings and the parking areas on the site so as to cause no damage to the trees and to prevent overlooking.

There is concern over the range of colours and question whether it is in keeping with the green/brown environment."

As well as these comments still being relevant this Council has major concerns over the refuse point procedures and cannot see how they will work satisfactorily.

The addition of a double doorway and steps onto a general area is unneighbourly due to the proximity to adjacent buildings. There is also concern about the Rights of Access on Racketts Lane.

Neighbour Notifications sent 28.1.2005

St Michaels Catholic Church; The Lodge; Mousehole; house adjacent Mousehole; Mousefield; Forresters, Southampton Road; 1; 2; 3 Kelvin Close

7	<i>Plan Number</i> 083731	<i>House No./Name</i> 15	<i>Road</i> Guillemot Close	<i>Applicant</i> White Mr and Mrs P
& L	<i>Applicant</i>	<i>House Name</i>	<i>Road</i>	<i>Locality</i>
<i>Town date</i>	<i>County</i>	<i>Post Code</i>	<i>Application</i>	25/01/05

Applicant - Mr and Mrs White

Proposal - Single-storey front extension

Site - 15 Guillemot Close, Hythe

No view

Neighbour Notifications sent 28.1.05

13; 17; 18 Guillemot Close

8	<i>Plan Number</i> 083751	<i>House No./Name</i> 1	<i>Road</i> The Vale	<i>Applicant</i> Mahor Mr
	<i>Applicant</i>	<i>House Name</i>	<i>Road</i>	<i>Locality</i>
<i>Town date</i>	<i>County</i>	<i>Post Code</i>	<i>Application</i>	25/01/05

Applicant - Mr Mahor

Proposal - Conservatory

Site - 1 The Vale, Hythe SO45 5ET

Support

Neighbour Notifications sent 28.1.05
8 Overbrook
2 The Vale

9	<i>Plan Number</i> 083755	<i>House No./Name</i> Bandstand	<i>Road</i> Hythe Marina Village	<i>Applicant</i> Marina
Developments				Limited
	<i>Applicant</i>	<i>House Name</i>	<i>Road</i>	<i>Locality</i>
<i>Town date</i>	<i>County</i>	<i>Post Code</i>	<i>Application</i>	
				25/01/05

Applicant - Marina Developments Limited

Proposal - CCTV camera on supporting mast

Site - Bandstand at Hythe Marina Village, Hythe

Support subject to the mast being attached to the building sympathetically.

Neighbour Notifications sent 28.1.05
Hythe Marina Association

10	<i>Plan Number</i> TPO2005/53	<i>House No./Name</i> 56	<i>Road</i> Mountfield	<i>Applicant</i> OCA Uk Ltd
	<i>Applicant</i>	<i>House Name</i>	<i>Road</i>	<i>Locality</i>
<i>Town date</i>	<i>County</i>	<i>Post Code</i>	<i>Application</i>	
				25/01/05

Applicant - OCA UK Ltd

Proposal - Fell 1 Oak

Site - 56 Mountfield, Hythe

Object. The Oak tree is protected by a Tree Preservation Order and provides a positive amenity to the area. As such it is considered that the loss of this tree would be detrimental to the appearance of the local environment. Further to the technical assessment of the submitted evidence it is considered that this evidence fails to sufficiently isolate the tree as a contributory factor in the alleged subsidence. There is therefore no evidence that the proposed works would ensure the long term stability of the building, or that the need for engineering works would be avoided, or that the duration of any claim period would be limited.